



ISSN 1935-0007

The article on Civil Liability for use of Police Dogs that originally appeared in January 2007 has been updated and superseded by a three part series published in Aug., Sept., and Oct. 2014. It can be read at the following links.

[Civil Liability for Use of Police Dogs](#), Part 1, 2014 (8) AELE Mo. L. J. 101.

[Civil Liability for Use of Police Dogs](#), Part 2, 2014 (9) AELE Mo. L. J. 101.

[Civil Liability for Use of Police Dogs](#), Part 3, 2014 (10) AELE Mo. L. J. 101.

The Table of Contents for the updated material appears below.

**Update: Civil Liability for Use of Police Dogs
and Force Against Private Dogs**

[Part 1](#) (August 2014)

- Introduction
- Use of Dogs Against Suspects is Force, and Must be Reasonable
- Warnings
- Excessive Force in Justified Arrests
- Intentional Conduct versus Mistake or Accident
- Supervisory or Municipal Liability
 - *Training Requirements*

[Part 2](#) (September 2014)

- Does the Use of Police Dogs Constitute Deadly Force?
- Use of Dogs Against Mentally Ill Suspects
- Liability for Dog Bites Under State or Federal Law
- Miscellaneous Issues

[Part 3](#) (October 2014)

- Use of Force Against Private Dogs
- Animal Control Issues
- Some Suggestions
- Resources and References

AELE Monthly Law Journal

Bernard J. Farber
Civil Liability Law Editor
P.O. Box 75401
Chicago, IL 60675-5401 USA
E-mail: bernfarber@aele.org
Tel. 1-800-763-2802

© 2014, by the AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center

**Readers may download, store, print, copy or share this article,
but it may not be republished for commercial purposes. Other
web sites are welcome to link to this article.**

- The purpose of this publication is to provide short articles to acquaint the reader with selected case law on a topic. Articles are typically six to ten pages long. Because of the brevity, the discussion cannot cover every aspect of a subject.
 - The law sometimes differs between federal circuits, between states, and sometimes between appellate districts in the same state. AELE Law Journal articles should not be considered as “legal advice.” Lawyers often disagree as to the meaning of a case or its application to a set of facts.
-

[AELE Home Page](#) --- [Publications Menu](#) --- [Seminar Information](#)

This article appears in the [IACP Net](#) database.