## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

DELOYD SCOTT and CONRAD

STEELE, Plaintiffs, vs.	Case No. 2:09-CV-0066-EJL
TIMOTHY NEAL, and JONATHAN CANTRELL,  Defendants.	SPECIAL VERDICT
D'OTOTALITA.	
We, the jury, unanimously answer	the questions submitted by the Court as follows:
QUESTION 1: Did the Defer	ndant Jonathan Cantrell use excessive force in
arresting the Plaintiff Deloyd Scott?	
ANSWER: Yes	No X
QUESTION 2: Did the Defer	ndant Timothy Neal use excessive force in
arresting the Plaintiff Deloyd Scott?	
ANSWER: Yes	No X

If you answered either Question 1 or 2 "Yes", then you should next answer

Question 3. If you answered both Question 1 and 2 "No," then you should skip Questions

3 - 5 and you should sign this verdict form and notify the bailiff when you have completed both verdict forms.

	QUESTION 3:	What do you find to be Plaintiff Deloyd Scott's amount of
comp	ensatory damages on	this claim?
	ANSWER:	\$
	•	
	QUESTION 4:	Is Plaintiff Deloyd Scott entitled to punitive damages on his
claim	of excessive force?	
	ANSWER:	Yes No
	If you answered Qu	uestion 4, "Yes," answer Questions 5 and 6. If you answered
Ques	tion 4 "No," skip Qu	estions 5 and 6. You should sign this verdict form and notify
the b	ailiff when you have o	completed both verdict forms.
	QUESTION 5:	What do you find to be Plaintiff Deloyd Scott's amount of
punit	ive damages on this o	claim against Officer Cantrell?
	ANSWER:	\$
	QUESTION 6:	What do you find to be Plaintiff Deloyd Scott's amount of
punit	ive damages on this o	claim against Officer Neal?
	ANSWER:	\$

Dated:

1-28-2011

Foreperson

**Judgment follows** 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

DELOYD SCOTT and CONRAD STEELE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

OFFICER TIMOTHY NEAL and OFFICER JOHNATHAN CANTRELL,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:09-CV-00066-EJL

JUDGMENT ON VERDICTS

This matter coming before this Court upon jury trial and the matter having been fully tried and submitted to the jury, and the jury having rendered its verdict upon two Special Verdict Forms (Dkt. Nos. 57 and 58), and with the Court being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs take nothing from the Defendants and this case is **DISMISSED IN ITS ENTIRETY**.

DATED: February 4, 2011

Honorable Edward J. Lodge

U. S. District Judge