IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NANCY DREW SUDERS,	: CIVIL NO. 1:CV-00-1655			
Plaintiff	: !			
v.				
THE PENNSYLVANIA	The state of the s			
STATE POLICE,	# 18 2 2 7907			
Defendant	MARY U. DE BETTA, TYPESS			
	DEPUTY CLEEK			
<u>VERDICT FORM</u>				
Question No. 1				
Did Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she was				
subjected to a pattern of sexual harassment that was so severe and pervasive that it				
created an abusive working environment b	pased on gender?			
YES				
NO				
If your answer to Question No. 1 is "NO," please sign the verdict form				
and return to the courtroom. If your answer is "YES," proceed to Question No. 2.				
Question No. 2				
Did Plaintiff prove, by a prep	onderance of the evidence, that her			
working environment became so intolerab	le that a reasonable person would have felt			
compelled to resign?				
YES				
NO				

If your answer to Question No. 2 is "YES," proceed to Question No. 3. If your answer to Question No. 2 is "NO," proceed to Question No. 4.

Question No. 3

Did Plaintiff prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the events surrounding her computer-skills exams that culminated in the events of the evening of August 20, 1998 constituted an official act that precipitated Plaintiff's constructive discharge?

YES ____

If your answer to Question No. 3 is "YES," then proceed to Question No. 6. If your answer to Question No. 3 is "NO," then proceed to Question No. 4.

Question No. 4

Did Defendant establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the Pennsylvania State Police had an effective policy for reporting and resolving complaints of sexual harassment?

YES _____ NO ____

If your answer to Question No. 4 is "YES," proceed to Question No. 5. If your answer to Question No. 4 is "NO" and you answered Question No. 2 "YES," then proceed to Question No. 6. If your answer to Question No. 4 is "NO" and your answer to Question No. 2 was "NO," proceed to Question No. 7.

Qu	<u>estion</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>5</u>

Did Defendant establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that plaintiff unreasonably failed to avail herself of that employer-provided preventive or remedial policy?

YES _____

If your answer to Question No. 5 is "YES," sign the verdict form and return to the courtroom. If your answer to Question No. 5 is "NO" and you answered Question No. 2 "YES," then proceed to Question No. 6. If your answer to Question No. 5 is "NO" and your answer to Question No. 2 was "NO," then proceed to Question No. 7.

Question No. 6

What amount of damages, if any, will compensate Plaintiff for the injury she sustained from the sexually hostile work environment you found?

\$	- Lost Employment Benefits
\$	Intangible Injuries (Emotional and
Mental Distress	Humiliation, and Embarrassment)

\$	Total Damages (Benefits + Intangibles)
After you answer Questic	on No. 6, please sign the verdict form and
return to the courtroom. Do not answ	er Question No. 7.
Question No. 7	
What amount of damages	s, if any, will compensate plaintiff for the
injury she sustained from the sexually	hostile work environment you found?
If you answered "NO" to Quest	ion No. 2, you cannot award any damages for
lost wages or benefits and should not	answer Question No. 6. However, you may
award Plaintiff damages for intangible	e injuries that resulted from a sexually hostile
work environment.	
\$	Intangible Injuries (Emotional and
Mental Distress, H	umiliation, and Embarrassment)
After you answer Questic	on No. 7, please sign the verdict form and
return to the courtroom.	
DATED: 3/22/05	JURY FOREPERSON